

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,960		01/26/2004	David F. McNary	112,811	7875
21002	7590	06/16/2005		EXAM	IŅER
WILLIA	M NITKIN	Ī	CHAMBERS, MICHAEL S		
1320 CENTRE STREET SUITE 300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEWTO	NEWTON, MA 02459			3711	· · · ·
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/763,960	MCNARY, DAVID F.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mike Chambers	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states after the period for reply within the set or extended peri	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI vill, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on 27 August 2004.	
<u>'</u>	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-6,12 and 15-17 is/are reject 7) ☑ Claim(s) 7,8,13 and 14 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. cted. d to.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	• •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
· ·	by the Examinor. Note the attached	a omoc Action of format 10-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in A f the priority documents have beer al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		·
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \prod Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	TO/SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \) Notice of \(\bigcap \) Other: \(\bigcap \)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-8 and 12-17 is acknowledged.

Claims 9-11 are withdrawn from further consideration, as directed to claims non-elected without traverse, 37CFR1.142.

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 4: "said top member" should be - a top member – or the claim should depend from claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hunter (4809988). Hunter discloses

a plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (18) arrayed in a series, each of said puck deflector members having a first end and a second end, said plurality of puck deflector members disposed in front of a hockey goal for deflection of hockey pucks shot through said plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (fig 1,3). In as much structure set forth by the applicant in the claims, the device is capable of use in the intended manner if so desired (See MPEP 2112).



Art Unit: 3711

As to Claim 2: Hunter discloses a framework having a top member (19), said top member having a first end and a second end, and a length; and said plurality of puck deflector members (20,18) spaced apart from one another, said first ends of each of said puck deflector members attached to said top member and hanging downward therefrom toward said ice, said plurality of puck deflector members causing a puck striking one of said puck deflector members at a first direction of movement to be deflected and to continue traveling toward the goal at a second direction of movement (fig 3).

As to Claim 3: Hunter discloses a first and second upright members (54), each of said first and second upright members having a first end and a second end and a length, said first ends of said first and second upright member supporting, respectively, said first end and said second end of said top member and first and second support members, said first and second support members having receipt means for receiving, respectively, said second end of said first upright member and said second end of said second upright member (fig 3).

As to Claim 6: Hunter discloses a base deflector (fig 1, item 16,).

As to Claim 17: Hunter discloses a top member that is curved (fig 7).

Also,

Claims 1-3, 6, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doublet (4647016). Doublet discloses

Art Unit: 3711

a plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (3) arrayed in a series, each of said puck deflector members having a first end and a second end, said plurality of puck deflector members disposed in front of a hockey goal for deflection of hockey pucks shot through said plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (fig 2). In as much structure set forth by the applicant in the claims, the device is capable of use in the intended manner if so desired (See MPEP 2112).

As to Claim 2: Doublet discloses a framework having a top member, said top member having a first end and a second end, and a length; and said plurality of puck deflector members spaced apart from one another, said first ends of each of said puck deflector members attached to said top member and hanging downward therefrom toward said ice, said plurality of puck deflector members causing a puck striking one of said puck deflector members at a first direction of movement to be deflected and to continue traveling toward the goal at a second direction of movement (fig 1, 3:3-6).

As to Claim 3: Doublet discloses a first and second upright members, each of said first and second upright members having a first end and a second end and a length, said first ends of said first and second upright member supporting, respectively, said first end and said second end of said top member and first and second support members, said first and second support members having receipt means for receiving, respectively, said second end of said first upright member and said second end of said second upright member (fig 1,2).

As to Claim 6: Doublet discloses a base deflector (fig 1, item 4,5).

As to Claim 15: Doublet discloses a connection member for the second ends of the puck deflectors (fig 1, item 9).

As to Claim 17: Doublet discloses a top member that is curved (fig 1).

Also,

Claims 1-3, 6, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bilby et al (6199833). Bilby et al discloses

a plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (22) arrayed in a series, each of said puck deflector members having a first end and a second end, said plurality of puck deflector members disposed in front of a hockey goal for deflection of hockey pucks shot through said plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (fig 1). In as much structure set forth by the applicant in the claims, the device is capable of use in the intended manner if so desired (See MPEP 2112).

As to Claim 2: Bilby et al discloses a framework having a top member, said top member having a first end and a second end, and a length; and said plurality of puck deflector members spaced apart from one another, said first ends of each of said puck deflector members attached to said top member and hanging downward therefrom toward said ice, said plurality of puck deflector members causing a puck striking one of said puck deflector members at a first direction of movement to be deflected and to continue traveling toward the goal at a second direction of movement (fig 1).

As to Claim 3: Bilby et al discloses a first and second upright members, each of said first and second upright members having a first end and a second end and a

Art Unit: 3711

length, said first ends of said first and second upright member supporting, respectively, said first end and said second end of said top member and first and second support members, said first and second support members having receipt means for receiving, respectively, said second end of said first upright member and said second end of said second upright member (fig 1).

As to Claim 6: Bilby et al discloses a base deflector (fig 1, item 24).

As to Claim 15: Bilby et al discloses a connection member for the second ends of the puck deflectors (fig 1, item 16).

Also,

Claims 1-3, 6 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scully (5348291). Scully discloses

a plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (51) arrayed in a series, each of said puck deflector members having a first end and a second end, said plurality of puck deflector members disposed in front of a hockey goal for deflection of hockey pucks shot through said plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (fig 2). In as much structure set forth by the applicant in the claims, the device is capable of use in the intended manner if so desired (See MPEP 2112).

As to Claim 2: Scully discloses a framework having a top member (43), said top member having a first end and a second end, and a length; and said plurality of puck deflector members spaced apart from one another, said first ends of each of said puck deflector members attached to said top member and hanging downward therefrom toward said ice, said plurality of puck deflector members (51) causing a puck striking

Art Unit: 3711

one of said puck deflector members at a first direction of movement to be deflected and to continue traveling toward the goal at a second direction of movement (fig 2).

As to Claim 3: Scully discloses a first and second upright members, each of said first and second upright members (27,29) having a first end and a second end and a length, said first ends of said first and second upright member supporting, respectively, said first end and said second end of said top member and first and second support members, said first and second support members having receipt means for receiving, respectively, said second end of said first upright member and said second end of said second upright member (fig 2).

As to Claim 6: Scully discloses a base deflector (fig 2, item 37).

As to Claim 15: Scully discloses a connection member for the second ends of the puck deflectors (fig 2, item 45,77).

Also,

Claims 1-3, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chittenden (6250635). Chittenden discloses

a plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (54) arrayed in a series, each of said puck deflector members having a first end and a second end, said plurality of puck deflector members disposed in front of a hockey goal for deflection of hockey pucks shot through said plurality of vertically disposed puck deflector members (fig 1). In as much structure set forth by the applicant in the claims, the device is capable of use in the intended manner if so desired (See MPEP 2112).

Art Unit: 3711

As to Claim 2: Chittenden discloses a framework having a top member (50a), said top member having a first end and a second end, and a length; and said plurality of puck deflector members spaced apart from one another, said first ends of each of said puck deflector members attached to said top member and hanging downward therefrom toward said ice, said plurality of puck deflector members (54) causing a puck striking one of said puck deflector members at a first direction of movement to be deflected and to continue traveling toward the goal at a second direction of movement (fig 1).

As to Claim 3: Chittenden discloses a first and second upright members, each of said first and second upright members (48 a,b) having a first end and a second end and a length, said first ends of said first and second upright member supporting, respectively, said first end and said second end of said top member and first and second support members, said first and second support members having receipt means for receiving, respectively, said second end of said first upright member and said second end of said second upright member (fig 2).

As to Claim 15: Chittenden discloses a connecting member (fig 2, item 74).

As to Claim 16: Chittenden discloses a top member that is curved (fig 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 4-6, 12,15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Chittenden. Hunter discloses the elements of claim 4, however it fails to clearly disclose the use of a chain deflector. Chittenden discloses the use of a chain deflector. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the chain deflector of Chittenden with the apparatus of Hunter in order to provide a more durable device for play. The specification provides no unanticipated results from using the dimensions cited. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected an appropriate distance between the chain members based on cost and design choice considerations.

As to Claim 5: Hunter discloses a top member formed in two parts (fig 1, item 34).

As to Claim 6: Hunter discloses a base deflector having a surface which is angled upwards from the surface

As to Claim 12: Hunter discloses a base member angled upward (fig 1, item 16).

As to Claim 15: Chittenden discloses a connecting member (fig 2, item 26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the chain deflector of Chittenden with the apparatus of Hunter in order to provide a more durable device for play.

As to Claim 17: Hunter discloses a curved top member (fig 7).

Also,

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chittenden as applied to claim 1. Chittenden discloses hanging chain members however it does not disclose the distance between deflectors as being approximately 4

Art Unit: 3711

½ inches. The specification provides no unanticipated results from using the dimensions cited. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected an appropriate distance between the chain members based on cost and design choice considerations.

As to Claim 5: Chittenden discloses a top member formed in two parts (fig 1, item 50a,b).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 8,13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Chambers whose telephone number is 571-272-4407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4647016*6199833*5348291*4809988*6250635

Michael Chambers Examiner Art Unit 3711

June 13, 2005